UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION; BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.; BOSTON SCIENTIFIC LIMITED; AND ENDOVASCULAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiffs,

v.

COOK, INC.; WILSON-COOK MEDICAL, INC.; COOK MEDICAL INC.; COOK IRELAND LTD.; COOK GROUP, INC.; TAEWOONG MEDICAL CO., LTD.; STANDARD SCI-TECH INC.; ENDOCHOICE, INC.; AND SEWOON MEDICAL CO., LTD.

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 10-11646

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANTS STANDARD SCI-TECH, INC., ENDOCHOICE, INC., AND SEWOON MEDICAL CO. LTD.

Boston Scientific Corporation ("BSC"); Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. ("BSSI"); Boston Scientific Limited ("BSL"); and EndoVascular Technologies, Inc. ("EVT") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") hereby respond to the counterclaims filed by Defendants Standard Sci-Tech, Inc., EndoChoice, Inc., and Sewoon Medical Co., Ltd. (collectively, "the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants") on January 7, 2011, as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiffs admit that Standard Sci-Tech Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the Republic of Korea, with a principal place of business at Suite 202, 236-7 YongDu-Dong, DongDaeMun-Gu, Seoul, South Korea 130-170. Plaintiffs are without sufficient

knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims and, therefore, deny them.

- 2. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- 3. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- 4. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Standard Sci-Tech
 Defendants' counterclaims.
- 6. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- 7. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- 9. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- 10. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.
- 11. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

12. Plaintiffs admit the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT I (Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,007,574)

- 13. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 13 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 12 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT II

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,309,415)

- 15. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 15 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 14 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT III

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,160,323)

17. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 17 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 - 16 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.

18. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT IV (Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,419,502)

- 19. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 19 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 18 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT V(Declaratory Judgment

of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,419,503)

- 21. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 21 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 20 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT VI

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,736,386)

23. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 23 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat

and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 - 22 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.

24. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT VII

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,763,068)

- 25. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 25 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 24 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT VIII

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,533,810)

- 27. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 27 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 26 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT IX

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,818,015)

- 29. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 29 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 28 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT X (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,007,574)

- 31. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 31 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 30 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 32 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XI (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,309,415)

33. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 33 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 - 32 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.

34. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XII (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,160,323)

- 35. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 35 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 34 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 36. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XIII

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,419,502)

- 37. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 37 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 36 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XIV

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,419,503)

39. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 39 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat

and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 - 38 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.

40. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XV

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,736,386)

- 41. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 41 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 40 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XVI

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,763,068)

- 43. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 43 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 42 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 44. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 44 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XVII

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,533,810)

- 45. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 45 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 44 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 46 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

COUNT XVIII (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,818,015)

- 47. To the extent it constitutes an allegation, Plaintiffs deny the heading allegation preceding paragraph 47 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference their answers to Paragraphs 1 46 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims, as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Plaintiffs deny the allegations in Paragraph 48 of the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants' counterclaims.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs admit that the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants demand a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs deny that the Standard Sci-Tech Defendants are entitled to any of the relief requested.

DATED: January 28, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Denise W. DeFranco

Denise W. DeFranco (BBO No. 558859) Kia L. Freeman (BBO No. 643467) Matthew Van Eman (BBO No. 671722) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 55 Cambridge Parkway

Cambridge, MA 02142-1215

E-mail address: denise.defranco@finnegan.com

Telephone: (617) 452-1600 Facsimile: (617) 452-1666

J. Michael Jakes
Leslie I. Bookoff
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20001-4413
E-mail address: mike.jakes@finnegan.com

Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC LIMITED
ENDOVASCULAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Denise W. DeFranco, an attorney of record in this matter, certify that on January 28,

2011, I caused a copy of the foregoing document:

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANTS STANDARD SCI-TECH, INC., ENDOCHOICE, INC., AND SEWOON MEDICAL CO. LTD

to be filed by electronic filing (ECF), which provides service to counsel of record by email.

/s/ Denise W. DeFranco

Denise W. DeFranco (BBO No. 558859) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 55 Cambridge Parkway

Cambridge, MA 02142-1215

E-mail address: denise.defranco@finnegan.com

Telephone: (617) 452-1600 Facsimile: (617) 452-1666

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC LIMITED
ENDOVASCULAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC.